

## PRACTICE GUIDANCE

# Hepatitis C Guidance: AASLD-IDSA Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Adults Infected With Hepatitis C Virus

AASLD/IDSA HCV Guidance Panel\*

## Preamble

The pace of hepatitis C virus (HCV) drug development in recent years has accelerated dramatically. For patients to benefit from these impressive advances, practitioners need access to the most up-to-date data and to advice from experienced experts. Such information and advice can be difficult to access readily given the diverse sources from which information is available and the sometimes lengthy time needed for publication of original articles and scholarly perspectives. Traditional practice guidelines for more established areas of medicine and care often take years to develop and bring to publication. In the new era in hepatitis C treatment, such a process would not be nimble or timely enough to address the needs of patients with HCV infection, practitioners caring for these patients, or payers approving therapies for use. A living document made available in a web-based system, such as that used by the US Department of Health and Human Services for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) treatment recommendations (<http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines>), was selected as the best model to provide timely recommendations for hepatitis C management. In 2013, the two major membership societies supporting liver and infectious disease specialists (American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases [AASLD] and Infectious Diseases Society of America [IDSA]) joined forces to develop guidance for the management of hepatitis C in this rapidly moving field. The International Antiviral Society-USA, which has experience in developing treatment guidelines in HIV disease, was invited to join the effort as a collabo-

rating partner responsible for managing the panel and the guidance development process.

The goal of the hepatitis C guidance is to provide up-to-date recommendations for HCV care practitioners on the optimal screening, management, and treatment for adults with HCV infection in the United States, using a rigorous review process to evaluate the best available evidence. This review provides a condensed summary of recommendations from the guidance. The complete guidance, which is updated regularly, is available at [www.hcvguidelines.org](http://www.hcvguidelines.org).

## Process

This was conceived to be a living document that would reside online and undergo real-time revisions as the field evolved. To lead the process, two cochairs selected by the governing boards of each founding society were joined by a fifth cochair representing the International Antiviral Society-USA. These cochairs selected 10 panel members from each society. The panel members were chosen to represent expertise in the diagnosis, management, treatment, research, and patient care from the fields of hepatology and infectious diseases. At least 51% of the panelists could have no substantive industry support other than research advisory boards, data safety monitoring boards, or research funding that went to the member's employer.

The panel first convened in person in October 2013. Panel members were divided into teams to review available data and to propose preliminary guidance in three areas: (1) testing and linkage to care, (2) initial treatment of HCV infection, and (3) retreatment of patients

---

*Abbreviations: AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; anti-HCV, antibody to HCV; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CTP, Child-Turcotte-Pugh; DAA, direct-acting antiviral; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IDSA, Infectious Diseases Society of America; IFN, interferon; NS3, nonstructural protein 3; PEG-IFN, pegylated IFN; PrOD, paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir plus dasabuvir; RAV, resistance-associated variant; RBV, ribavirin; SVR, sustained virological response.*

*Received June 3, 2015; accepted June 3, 2015.*

*These recommendations have been approved by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the Infectious Diseases Society of America.*

*All AASLD Practice Guidelines are updated annually. If you are viewing a Practice Guideline that is more than 12 months old, please visit [www.aasld.org](http://www.aasld.org) for an update in the material.*

*\*The names and affiliations of all authors are listed at the end of the article.*

in whom prior HCV treatment had failed. The treatment section teams also reviewed data for special considerations in patients with hepatitis C, including those with HCV/HIV coinfection, with decompensated cirrhosis, and who had undergone liver transplantation. The teams and cochairs met regularly by conference call. All panel members reviewed and approved the final recommendations. Each society's governing board peer-reviewed the final recommendations. The first version of the guidance was uploaded ([www.hcvguidelines.org](http://www.hcvguidelines.org)) on January 29, 2014. By September 2014, three additional sections were developed: (1) treatment of acute HCV infection, (2) monitoring during and after therapy, and (3) when and whom to treat. In October 2014, the panel reconvened in person to update recommendations to consider data on pending new treatments. The updated recommendations (and appropriate revisions of all current guidance) were uploaded on December 20, 2014. This report was prepared on May 20, 2015.

Funding for the guidance itself was provided by the AASLD and the IDSA. No industry funding was solicited or accepted. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) provided separate funding for identifying and reviewing data pertaining to testing and linkage to care.

## Collecting, Evaluating, and Rating the Evidence

The panel, comprising experts in the fields of hepatology and infectious diseases, used an evidence-based approach to review available information for the guidance. Information sources considered were research published in peer-reviewed journals or presented at major national or international research conferences; safety warnings from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), other regulatory agencies, or the manufacturer; drug interaction data; prescribing information from FDA-approved products; and registration data for new products under FDA review. An initial search of the literature yielded 3939 unique citations on November 4, 2013. To be considered, articles needed to be published in English from 2010 to the present. Review studies, studies using mice or rats, and in vitro studies were excluded. Panel members monitor the literature and

other sources regularly and update the guidance as new evidence warrants.

Each recommendation is rated in terms of the level of evidence (depicted by Roman numeral I, II, or III) and the strength of the recommendation (depicted by letter A, B, or C) using a scale (Table 1) adapted from the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association Practice Guidelines.<sup>1,2</sup>

## HCV Testing and Linkage to Care

Of the estimated 2.2 million to 3.2 million persons<sup>3</sup> chronically infected with HCV in the United States, half are unaware that they are infected.<sup>4</sup> Identification of those with active infection is the first step toward improving health outcomes and preventing transmission.<sup>5-7</sup> Accordingly, HCV testing is recommended in select populations based on demography, prior exposures, risk behaviors, and medical conditions (Table 2). In 2012, the CDC expanded its risk-based HCV testing guidelines originally issued in 1998<sup>7</sup> with a recommendation to offer a one-time HCV test to all persons born from 1945 through 1965, regardless of whether HCV risk factors have been identified. This recommendation was supported by the failure of the risk-based screening strategy to identify more than 50% of HCV infections. Furthermore, persons in the 1945 to 1965 birth cohort accounted for nearly three-fourths of all HCV infections, with a five times higher prevalence (3.25%) than other cohorts. A retrospective review showed that 68% of persons with HCV infection would have been identified through a birth cohort testing strategy, whereas only 27% would have been screened with the risk-based approach.<sup>8</sup> The cost-effectiveness of one-time birth cohort testing is comparable to that of current risk-based screening strategies.<sup>5</sup>

### Recommendation

**1. Consistent with the CDC and the US Preventive Services Task Force, a one-time HCV test is recommended in asymptomatic persons in the 1945-1965 birth cohort and other persons based on exposures, behaviors, and conditions that increase risk for HCV infection. (I-B)**

Address reprint request to: Gary L. Davis, M.D., 201 S Ocean Grande Dr., PH4, Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082. E-mail: [davisgl@sbcglobal.net](mailto:davisgl@sbcglobal.net); tel: +1-214-783-2563 or to Raymond T Chung, M.D., Liver Center, GI Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit St., Boston, MA 02114. E-mail: [rchung@partners.org](mailto:rchung@partners.org).

Copyright © 2015 by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.

View this article online at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com).

DOI 10.1002/hep.27950

Potential conflict of interest: Listed at the end of the article for all authors.

**Table 1. Rating by Classification and Level of Evidence**

Classification	Description
Class I	Conditions for which there is evidence and/or general agreement that a given diagnostic evaluation, procedure, or treatment is beneficial, useful, and effective
Class II	Conditions for which there is conflicting evidence and/or a divergence of opinion about the usefulness and efficacy of a diagnostic evaluation, procedure, or treatment
Class IIa	Weight of evidence and/or opinion is in favor of usefulness and efficacy
Class IIb	Usefulness and efficacy are less established by evidence and/or opinion
Class III	Conditions for which there is evidence and/or general agreement that a diagnostic evaluation, procedure, or treatment is not useful and effective or if it in some cases may be harmful
Level of Evidence	Description
Level A*	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials, meta-analyses, or equivalent
Level B*	Data derived from a single randomized trial, nonrandomized studies, or equivalent
Level C	Consensus opinion of experts, case studies, or standard of care

\*In some situations, such as for PEG-IFN-sparing HCV treatments, randomized clinical trials with an existing standard-of-care arm cannot ethically or practicably be conducted. The FDA has suggested alternative study designs, including historical controls or immediate versus deferred, placebo-controlled trials. For additional examples and definitions see <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM225333.pdf>. In those instances for which there was a single predetermined, FDA-approved equivalency established, panel members considered the evidence as equivalent to a randomized controlled trial for levels A and B.

Adapted from the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association Practice Guidelines.<sup>1,2</sup>

Testing for HCV antibody (anti-HCV) should be performed using FDA-approved methods such as testing for anti-HCV<sup>9,10</sup> with laboratory-based assays or a point-of-care assay.<sup>11</sup> A positive anti-HCV test result indicates current (active) HCV infection (acute or chronic), past infection that has resolved, or a false-positive test result.<sup>12</sup> Therefore, FDA-approved quantitative or qualitative nucleic acid testing with a detection level of 25 IU/mL or lower should be used to detect HCV RNA to confirm active HCV infection and guide clinical management. Testing for HCV RNA should also be performed in persons with a negative anti-HCV test who are immunocompromised (e.g., persons receiving chronic hemodialysis)<sup>13</sup> or who might have been exposed to HCV in the prior 6 months because these persons may be anti-HCV-negative. An HCV RNA test is also needed to detect reinfection in anti-HCV-positive persons after previous spontaneous or treatment-related viral clearance. Further details for interpreting results of different antibody and nucleic acid testing can be found in the CDC testing algorithm at [www.hcvguidelines.org](http://www.hcvguidelines.org).

**Table 2. Summary of Recommendations for Screening for HCV Infection**

1. <i>Birth cohort</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Persons born between the years of 1945 and 1965</li> </ul>
2. <i>Risk behaviors</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Injection-drug use (current or ever, including those who injected once)</li> <li>• Intranasal illicit drug use</li> </ul>
3. <i>Risk exposures</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Long-term hemodialysis (ever)</li> <li>• Getting a tattoo in an unregulated setting</li> <li>• Health care, emergency medical, and public safety workers after needlesticks, sharps, or mucosal exposures to HCV-infected blood</li> <li>• Children born to HCV-infected women</li> <li>• Prior recipients of transfusions or organ transplants, including persons who               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>— were notified that they received blood from a donor who later tested positive for HCV infection</li> <li>— received a transfusion of blood or blood components or underwent an organ transplant before July 1992</li> <li>— received clotting factor concentrates produced before 1987</li> <li>— were ever incarcerated</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4. <i>Other</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HIV infection</li> <li>• Unexplained chronic liver disease and chronic hepatitis including elevated ALT levels</li> <li>• Solid organ donors (deceased and living)</li> </ul>

### Recommendation

**2. All persons recommended for HCV testing should first be tested for anti-HCV using an FDA-approved test. Positive results should be confirmed by nucleic acid testing for HCV RNA. (I-A)**

Evidence regarding the optimal frequency of testing in persons at risk for ongoing exposure to HCV is lacking; therefore, clinicians should determine the periodicity of testing based on the risk of reinfection. Because of the high incidence of HCV infection among persons who inject drugs and among HIV-infected men who have sex with men who have unprotected sex,<sup>14-19</sup> at least annual HCV testing is recommended in these subgroups.

### Recommendation

**3. Annual HCV testing is recommended for persons who inject drugs and for HIV-seropositive men who have unprotected sex with men. Periodic testing should be offered to other persons at ongoing risk of HCV exposure. (IIa-C)**

Persons infected with HCV should be educated about preventing further damage to their liver. Most important is prevention of the potential deleterious effect of alcohol, which may lead to more rapid progression of liver fibrosis and the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).<sup>20-26</sup> Persons with HCV should be tested

for HIV antibody and hepatitis B surface antigen as coinfection with hepatitis B virus or HIV has been associated with poorer prognosis of HCV,<sup>27,28</sup> they share overlapping risk factors, and additional benefits accrue from their diagnosis and treatment<sup>29,30</sup> (<http://www.aafp.org/afp/200/0315/p819.html> and <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5708a1.htm>).

Patients with obesity and metabolic syndrome who have underlying insulin resistance are more prone to have nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, which may accelerate fibrosis progression in HCV-infected persons.<sup>31,32</sup> Therefore, HCV-infected persons who are overweight or obese (defined by a body mass index of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or higher or 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or higher, respectively) should be counseled regarding strategies to reduce weight and improve insulin resistance through diet, exercise, and medical therapies.<sup>33,34</sup>

### **Recommendation**

#### ***4. Persons infected with HCV should be educated about their disease and how to prevent further damage to their liver. (IIa-B)***

Improvements in identification of current hepatitis C and advances in treatment will have limited impact on HCV-related morbidity and mortality unless patients have access to appropriate medical care. In the United States, it is estimated that only 13%-18% of persons with chronic HCV infection receive treatment.<sup>35</sup> Indeed, in many cases referral to practitioners who are able and willing to evaluate such patients and provide treatment is delayed or never occurs.<sup>36-38</sup> Thus, it is crucial that all patients with current hepatitis C and a positive HCV RNA test result be referred to and evaluated by a practitioner with expertise in the assessment of liver disease severity and HCV treatment. Further, those with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis require specialized management, including consideration of liver transplantation as indicated.

### **Recommendation**

#### ***5. Evaluation by a practitioner who is prepared to provide comprehensive management, including consideration of antiviral therapy, is recommended for all persons with current (active) HCV infection. (IIa-C)***

## **When and in Whom to Initiate HCV Therapy**

Successful hepatitis C treatment is achievable in nearly all infected patients and is reflected by a sustained virological response (SVR), defined as the continued absence of detectable HCV RNA for 12 or more weeks after comple-

tion of therapy. SVR is a marker for virological cure of HCV infection and has been shown to be durable in large prospective studies in more than 99% of patients followed up for at least 5 years.<sup>39,40</sup> Patients who are cured of their HCV infection experience numerous health benefits, including a decrease in liver inflammation, regression of fibrosis in most cases, and resolution of cirrhosis in half.<sup>41</sup> Among the latter group, portal hypertension, splenomegaly, and other clinical manifestations of advanced liver disease also improve. An SVR is associated with a more than 70% reduction in the risk of liver cancer (HCC) and a 90% reduction in the risk of liver-related mortality and liver transplantation.<sup>42-44</sup>

Cure of HCV infection may also reduce symptoms and mortality from severe extrahepatic manifestations, including cryoglobulinemic vasculitis, a condition affecting up to 15% of HCV-infected individuals.<sup>45,46</sup> Persons infected with HCV with non-Hodgkin lymphoma and other lymphoproliferative disorders achieve complete or partial remission in up to 75% of cases following successful HCV treatment.<sup>47-51</sup> These reductions in disease severity contribute to dramatic reductions in all-cause mortality.<sup>43,52</sup> Lastly, patients achieving an SVR have substantially improved quality of life, including physical, emotional, and social health.<sup>53,54</sup>

Evidence clearly supports treatment for all HCV-infected persons, except those with limited life expectancy (less than 12 months) due to non-liver-related comorbid conditions. Although treatment is best administered early in the course of the disease before fibrosis progression and the development of complications, the most immediate benefits of treatment will be realized by populations at highest risk for liver-related complications. Thus, where resources limit the ability to treat all infected patients immediately as recommended, it is most appropriate to treat first those at greatest risk of disease complications and those at risk for transmitting HCV or in whom treatment may reduce transmission risk. Where such limitations exist, prioritization of immediate treatment for those listed in Tables 3 and 4 is recommended, including patients with progressive liver disease (Metavir stage F3 or F4), transplant recipients, or those with severe extrahepatic manifestations.

Recent reports suggest that initiating therapy in patients with lower-stage fibrosis may extend the benefits of an SVR. In a long-term follow-up study, 820 patients with Metavir stage F0 or F1 fibrosis confirmed by biopsy were followed for more than 20 years. The 15-year survival rate was significantly better in those who experienced an SVR than in those whose treatment had failed or those who were untreated (93%, 82%, and 88%, respectively;  $P = 0.003$ ) and argues for

**Table 3. Settings of Liver-Related Complications and Extrahepatic Disease in Which HCV Treatment Is Most Likely to Provide the Most Immediate and Impactful Benefits\***

**Highest priority for treatment owing to highest risk for severe complications**

- Advanced fibrosis (Metavir F3) or compensated cirrhosis (Metavir F4)  
Rating: Class I, Level A
- Organ transplant recipients  
Rating: Class I, Level B
- Type 2 or 3 cryoglobulinemia with end-organ manifestations (e.g., vasculitis)  
Rating: Class I, Level B
- Proteinuria, nephrotic syndrome, or membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis  
Rating: Class IIa, Level B

**High priority for treatment owing to high risk for complications**

- Fibrosis (Metavir F2)  
Rating: Class I, Level B
- HIV-1 coinfection  
Rating: Class I, Level B
- Hepatitis B virus coinfection  
Rating: Class IIa, Level C
- Other coexistent liver disease (e.g., nonalcoholic steatohepatitis)  
Rating: Class IIa, Level C
- Debilitating fatigue  
Rating: Class IIa, Level B
- Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (insulin-resistant)  
Rating: Class IIa, Level B
- Porphyria cutanea tarda  
Rating: Class IIb, Level C

\*Ratings refer to the strength and level of evidence with regard to benefits of treatment in these settings.

consideration of earlier initiation of treatment.<sup>55</sup> Several other modeling studies suggest greater mortality benefit if treatment is initiated at stages prior to F3.<sup>56-58</sup>

### Recommendations

**6. Antiviral treatment is recommended for all patients with chronic HCV infection, except those with limited life expectancy due to nonhepatic causes. (I-A)**

**7. If resources limit the ability to treat all infected patients immediately as recommended, then it is most appropriate to treat those at greatest risk of disease complications before treating those with less advanced disease (see Tables 3 and 4 for ratings).**

An accurate assessment of fibrosis is vital in assessing the urgency for treatment, in some instances the duration of treatment, and the need for more intensive clinical monitoring. The degree of hepatic fibrosis is one of the most robust prognostic factors used to predict disease progression and clinical outcomes.<sup>59</sup> In addition to being in more urgent need for antiviral therapy, individuals with severe fibrosis require screening for HCC and esophageal varices.<sup>60,61</sup>

There are several acceptable approaches to staging. Individuals with clinically apparent cirrhosis, such as those with endoscopic evidence of varices or imaging

showing cirrhosis or portal hypertension, do not require additional staging. However, the majority of patients require testing to determine stage. Although liver biopsy is the diagnostic standard, sampling error and observer variability limit test performance, particularly when inadequate sampling occurs.<sup>62</sup> In addition, the test is invasive and minor complications are common, limiting patient and practitioner acceptance. Serious complications such as bleeding, although rare, are well recognized. Recently, noninvasive tests to stage the degree of fibrosis in patients with chronic HCV infection include models incorporating indirect serum biomarkers (routine tests such as aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase [ALT], and platelet count), direct serum biomarkers (components of the extracellular matrix produced by activated hepatic stellate cells), and vibration-controlled transient liver elastography.<sup>63-66</sup> No single method is recognized to have high accuracy alone, and the results of each test must be interpreted carefully. The most efficient approach to fibrosis assessment is to combine direct biomarkers and vibration-controlled transient liver elastography.<sup>67</sup>

### Recommendation

**8. Use of noninvasive testing or liver biopsy is recommended in order to assess the degree of hepatic fibrosis and, hence, the urgency of immediate treatment. (I-A)**

### Initial Treatment of HCV Infection

This section addresses treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C who are naive to any type of therapy. Although regimens containing peginterferon (PEG-IFN) and ribavirin (RBV) plus direct-acting antiviral (DAA) drugs are approved by the FDA for many HCV genotypes, the initial regimen for patients who are treatment-naive with HCV genotype 1 generally has been superseded by treatments incorporating regimens using only DAAs. Recommended treatments are viewed as

**Table 4. Persons With Risk of HCV Transmission\* or in Whom Treatment May Reduce Transmission**

- Men who have sex with men with high-risk sexual practices
  - Active injection-drug users
  - Incarcerated persons
  - Persons on long-term hemodialysis
  - HCV-infected women of childbearing potential wishing to get pregnant
  - Infected health care workers who perform exposure-prone procedures
- Rating: Class IIa, Level C

\*Patients at substantial risk of transmitting HCV should be counseled on ways to decrease transmission and minimize the risk of reinfection.

equivalent, and the decision of which to use may involve consideration of drug interactions between the DAAs and concomitant medications (see <http://www.hcvguidelines.org/full-report/initial-treatment-hcv-infection#drug-interactions>). For example, the daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg) and sofosbuvir (400 mg) (hereafter ledipasvir/sofosbuvir) has a potential interaction with proton pump inhibitors. Similarly, the daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg), ritonavir (100 mg), and ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) (hereafter paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir plus dasabuvir [PrOD]) has a substantial interaction with the long-acting inhaled beta-adrenoceptor agonist salmeterol and other drugs that interface with the cytochrome P450 3A4 isoenzyme.

### Genotype 1a

Patients with HCV genotype 1a tend to have higher relapse rates than patients with HCV genotype 1b with certain regimens. Genotype 1 HCV infection that cannot be subtyped should be treated as genotype 1a infection.

For HCV genotype 1a–infected, treatment-naïve patients, there are three regimens of comparable efficacy: ledipasvir/sofosbuvir,<sup>68,69</sup> PrOD and weight-based RBV,<sup>70,71</sup> and sofosbuvir plus simeprevir.<sup>72</sup> For PrOD, the use of RBV and the length of therapy differ for those with compensated cirrhosis versus those who do not have cirrhosis. The standard weight-based dosing of RBV is 1000 mg for individuals who weigh less than 75 kg to 1200 mg for those who weigh 75 kg or more. The known safety profiles of each of these recommended regimens are excellent. Across numerous phase 3 studies, fewer than 1% of patients without cirrhosis discontinued treatment early and adverse events were mild. Most adverse events occurred in RBV-containing arms. Patients with cirrhosis and HCV genotype 1a who were harboring the nonstructural protein 3 (NS3) Q80K polymorphism had lower SVR rates after treatment with sofosbuvir and simeprevir than those who did not harbor the Q80K polymorphism;<sup>73</sup> in these patients, one of the other recommended regimens for cirrhosis should be used.

### Recommendation

**9. Treatment options for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 1a who are initiating therapy (regimens are listed in alphabetical order):**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg)**

**plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks (no cirrhosis) or 24 weeks (cirrhosis). (I-A)**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) with or without weight-based RBV for 12 weeks (no cirrhosis) or 24 weeks (cirrhosis) for patients with a negative test result for the Q80K variant using commercially available resistance assays. In patients with HCV genotype 1a and cirrhosis who have the Q80K variant, one of the other regimens for cirrhosis detailed above is recommended. (IIa-B)**

### Genotype 1b

For HCV genotype 1b–infected, treatment-naïve patients, there are three regimens of comparable efficacy: ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 12 weeks, PrOD for 12 weeks<sup>70,71</sup> and sofosbuvir plus simeprevir with or without weight-based RBV for 12 weeks (or 24 weeks for patients with cirrhosis).<sup>72,74,75</sup>

### Recommendation

**10. Treatment options for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 1b who are initiating therapy (regimens are listed in alphabetical order):**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) with or without weight-based RBV for 12 weeks (no cirrhosis) or 24 weeks (cirrhosis). (IIa-B)**

### Genotype 2

Sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV is the recommended therapy for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 2 infection.<sup>75-78</sup> Until more data are available, extending treatment to 16 weeks in HCV genotype 2–infected patients with cirrhosis is recommended.

### Recommendation

**11. Regimen for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 2 infection:**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Extending treatment to 16 weeks is recommended for patients with cirrhosis. (IIb-C)**

**Genotype 3**

Genotype 3 is the most difficult genotype to treat with available DAAs. Sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV for 24 weeks is the recommended DAA-only regimen in the United States.<sup>76,79</sup> Based on recent data from a randomized trial demonstrating higher SVR rates than those seen with sofosbuvir and RBV for 24 weeks, the combination of sofosbuvir plus PEG-IFN and RBV for 12 weeks is recommended for interferon (IFN)-eligible patients,<sup>80</sup> although the adverse effects and increased monitoring requirements of PEG-IFN may make this a less attractive therapeutic option. Daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir for 12 weeks has been studied, but daclatasvir is not FDA-approved.<sup>81</sup>

**Recommendation****12. Treatment for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 3 infection:**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks for IFN-eligible patients. (I-A)**
- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks for IFN-ineligible patients. (I-B)**

**Genotype 4**

For the treatment of therapy-naïve patients with HCV genotype 4, three therapeutic options are recommended: daily combination of paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir with weight-based RBV,<sup>82</sup> ledipasvir/sofosbuvir,<sup>83,84</sup> or sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV.<sup>85-87</sup> Given the demonstrated activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* of simeprevir against HCV genotype 4, simeprevir plus sofosbuvir may be considered; but supportive clinical data are limited.

**Recommendation****13. Treatment options for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 4 infection (listed in alphabetical order):**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (IIb-B)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks. (I-B)**
- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks. (IIa-B)**

**Alternatives**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks. (II-B)**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) with or without weight-based RBV for 12 weeks. (IIb-B)**

**Genotype 5 or 6**

Few data are available to help guide decision making for patients infected with HCV genotype 5 or 6. Nonetheless, based on emerging data, sofosbuvir plus ledipasvir is recommended.<sup>83,84,88</sup>

**Recommendation****14. Treatment for treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6 infection:**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (IIa-B)**

**Alternative**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks for patients who are IFN-eligible. (IIa-B)**

**Retreatment of Persons in Whom Prior Therapy Has Failed****Prior Failure of PEG-IFN and RBV Without a DAA**

**Genotype 1a.** Three regimens are recommended in this setting: ledipasvir/sofosbuvir,<sup>89</sup> PrOD and RBV,<sup>79</sup> and simeprevir plus sofosbuvir.<sup>74-76,90</sup> In patients with cirrhosis, treatment with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 24 weeks produced higher SVR rates than did 12 weeks of treatment, supporting the recommendation that HCV treatment-experienced patients with cirrhosis receive 24 weeks of treatment.<sup>89,91</sup> However, ledipasvir/sofosbuvir with weight-based RBV given for 12 weeks produced equivalent SVR rates to 24 weeks of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in patients with cirrhosis in whom a prior course of PEG-IFN and RBV plus telaprevir or boceprevir had failed. For patients with cirrhosis who are treated with PrOD and RBV, 24 weeks of therapy is recommended.<sup>71</sup> Similarly, patients with cirrhosis who are being treated with simeprevir plus sofosbuvir should receive 24 weeks of therapy.<sup>92,93</sup>

**Genotype 1b.** The recommended treatment options in this setting are ledipasvir/sofosbuvir,<sup>89</sup> PrOD,<sup>94</sup> or simeprevir plus sofosbuvir.<sup>74-76,90</sup> For those with cirrhosis in whom a prior PEG-IFN-based regimen has failed, the recommendations for treatment are the same as those for genotype 1a patients with cirrhosis, except the treatment duration of PrOD can be reduced to 12 weeks and RBV can be omitted.<sup>71</sup>

**Recommendation**

**15. Options for retreatment of patients with genotype 1 HCV in whom previous PEG-IFN and RBV treatment had failed (regimens listed in alphabetical order):**

**HCV Genotype 1a Infection Without Cirrhosis**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dasabuvir (250 mg) and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) for 12 weeks. (IIa-B)**

**HCV Genotype 1b Infection Without Cirrhosis**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) for 12 weeks. (I-A)**
- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) for 12 weeks. (IIa-B)**

**HCV Genotype 1a or 1b Infection With Compensated Cirrhosis**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 24 weeks, regardless of subtype. (I-A)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus weight-based RBV for 12 weeks, regardless of subtype. (I-B)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks (HCV genotype 1a) or PrOD without RBV for 12 weeks (HCV genotype 1b). (I-A)**
- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) with or without weight-based RBV for 24 weeks for patients with a negative test result for the Q80K variant using commercially available resistance assays and for HCV genotype 1b infection. In patients with HCV genotype 1a and cirrhosis who have the Q80K variant, one of the other regimens for cirrhosis detailed above is recommended. (IIa-B)**

**Prior Failure of PEG-IFN and RBV and a DAA**

**Genotypes 1a and 1b.** *Prior Failure of Telaprevir- or Boceprevir-Containing Regimens.* The recom-

mended treatment for patients without cirrhosis with HCV genotype 1 in whom a prior regimen that contained telaprevir or boceprevir has failed is ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 12 weeks.<sup>89</sup> For patients with cirrhosis, relapse rates were higher in the 12-week than the 24-week treatment group;<sup>89</sup> thus, those patients with cirrhosis should have ledipasvir/sofosbuvir treatment duration extended to 24 weeks.<sup>89</sup> In a randomized retreatment study of patients with cirrhosis whose treatment with PEG-IFN and RBV plus telaprevir or boceprevir failed,<sup>95</sup> SVR12 rates were identical between those receiving 12 weeks of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and RBV and those receiving 24 weeks of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir. Thus, ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and RBV for 12 weeks is another recommended regimen for patients with cirrhosis in whom prior treatment with PEG-IFN and RBV and telaprevir or boceprevir failed.<sup>95</sup>

There are few data for PEG-IFN, RBV, and simeprevir treatment failures. However, based on expected patterns of resistance, treatment with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir may be given to this group of patients as well. Treatment with sofosbuvir and simeprevir or PrOD should be avoided.

**Recommendation**

**16. Options for retreatment of patients with genotype 1 HCV in whom a previous IFN-based and protease inhibitor-containing regimen had failed (regimens listed in alphabetical order in each subgroup):**

**Patients Without Cirrhosis**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks, regardless of subtype. (I-A)**

**Patients With Cirrhosis (Any Subtype)**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 24 weeks, regardless of subtype. (I-A)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus weight-based RBV for 12 weeks, regardless of subtype. (IIa-B)**

*Prior Failure of Sofosbuvir-Containing Regimens.* Treatment failure with sofosbuvir-containing regimens appears to be more common in persons infected with HCV genotype 1a than with 1b and more common in those with cirrhosis than in those without cirrhosis. Treatment failure of simeprevir plus sofosbuvir is associated with resistance to simeprevir and other HCV NS3/4A protease inhibitors such as paritaprevir. Conversely,



sofosbuvir resistance-associated variants (RAVs) are uncommon.<sup>74-76,90</sup> Some data exist for retreatment after a sofosbuvir-containing treatment failure with a regimen of sofosbuvir plus other drugs. Treatment with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV is recommended for either prior sofosbuvir and RBV failures<sup>96,97</sup> or prior sofosbuvir plus PEG-IFN and RBV failures.<sup>97</sup> Owing to the paucity of data in this setting, referral to a clinical trial may be appropriate for some patients. For patients with minimal liver disease, consideration should be given to deferral of retreatment until more information is available. In patients who have cirrhosis and require retreatment more urgently, treatment with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir with RBV for 24 weeks is recommended until more data are available.

In the absence of data, for patients in whom prior treatment with simeprevir plus sofosbuvir failed, strong consideration should be given to enrollment in a clinical trial. For patients with minimal liver disease, consideration should be given to deferral of retreatment pending the availability of data. In patients who require retreatment more urgently, based on emerging data and the expected pattern of HCV drug resistance, ledipasvir/sofosbuvir with or without RBV is recommended.

#### **Recommendation.**

**17. Options for retreatment of patients with genotype 1 HCV who failed a previous sofosbuvir-containing regimen (regimens listed in alphabetical order in each subgroup):**

- **Based on the limited data available for effective therapy, it is recommended that patients without an urgent need for HCV treatment, regardless of subtype, should defer antiviral therapy until additional data are available or consider enrollment in a clinical trial. (IIb-C)**
- **Patients with cirrhosis who have an urgent need for treatment should receive a daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) with weight-based RBV for 24 weeks, regardless of subtype. (IIa-C)**
- **Patients without cirrhosis who have an urgent need for treatment should receive a daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) with weight-based RBV for 12 weeks, regardless of subtype. (IIa-C)**

*Prior Failure of NS5A Regimen (Including Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir and PrOD).* There are limited data to guide retreatment of patients whose treatment with NS5A

inhibitor-containing regimens has failed. Retreatment of those whose prior treatment with sofosbuvir/ledipasvir failed with sofosbuvir/ledipasvir for 24 weeks resulted in a high frequency of failure, which was predicted by the presence of NS5A RAVs.<sup>73</sup> Thus, those patients with minimal liver disease should defer therapy pending further data. Those who have cirrhosis or who require urgent retreatment should undergo RAV testing.

#### **Recommendation**

**18. Options for retreatment of patients with HCV genotype 1 whose previous NS5A inhibitor-containing regimen failed:**

- **For patients without an urgent need for treatment, deferral of retreatment is recommended pending the availability of additional data. (III-C)**
- **For patients with cirrhosis or an urgent need for retreatment, testing RAVs which confer decreased susceptibility to NS3 protease inhibitors (e.g., Q80K) and to NS5A inhibitors should be performed using commercially available assays. (IIb-C)**
- **For patients with no NS5A RAVs detected, retreatment with a daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) with RBV for 24 weeks is recommended. (IIb-C)**
- **For patients who have NS5A RAVs detected but do not have NS3 RAVs detected, treatment with sofosbuvir (400 mg) and simeprevir (150 mg) with RBV for 24 weeks is recommended. (IIb-C)**
- **For patients who have both NS3 and NS5A RAVs detected, referral to a clinical trial is recommended. (IIb-C)**

**Genotype 2.** Individuals with genotype 2 HCV infection who have failed a prior course of IFN-based therapy should receive sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV for 12 weeks.<sup>78,90</sup> Extending treatment from 12 weeks to 16 weeks in HCV genotype 2–infected patients with cirrhosis is recommended. Recent data also suggest that sofosbuvir plus PEG-IFN and RBV for 12 weeks produces high rates of SVR compared with sofosbuvir plus RBV for 24 weeks and is an alternative for patients who are IFN-eligible.<sup>80</sup>

Currently, no data are available to support a recommendation for patients who have failed previous treatment with a sofosbuvir-containing regimen. Consideration should be given to deferral of retreatment until more information is available.

#### **Recommendation**

**19. Patients with HCV genotype 2 infection in whom prior PEG-IFN and RBV treatment has failed**

*should be treated with daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks (in patients without cirrhosis) to 16 weeks (in patients with cirrhosis). (I-A)*

#### **Alternative**

- *Retreatment with daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks is an alternative for patients in whom prior PEG-IFN and RBV treatment failed who are eligible to receive IFN.*

**Genotype 3.** Individuals with genotype 3 HCV infection who have failed a prior course of IFN-based therapy should receive sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV for 24 weeks.<sup>78,90</sup> Retreatment with daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks is also highly effective, particularly among those with cirrhosis. It is recommended for those eligible to receive PEG-IFN.<sup>80</sup> This regimen may also be effective in those patients with HCV genotype 3 infection who have failed a prior course of sofosbuvir and ribavirin.

#### **Recommendation**

*20. Patients with HCV genotype 3 infection in whom prior PEG-IFN and RBV treatment has failed should receive*

- *Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks for patients who are eligible to receive IFN. (I-A)*
- *Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks for IFN-ineligible patients. (I-B)*

**Genotype 4.** Data are limited to help guide retreatment decision making for patients infected with HCV genotype 4. Nonetheless, for patients in whom retreatment is required after prior failure of PEG-IFN and RBV, four equivalent regimens are recommended: ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 12 weeks,<sup>83</sup> paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks for patients without cirrhosis,<sup>98</sup> sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV and weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks,<sup>76</sup> or sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV for 24 weeks.<sup>86</sup> Patients with cirrhosis who were treated with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 24 weeks had higher SVR rates than those treated for 12 weeks. Thus, for those with cirrhosis, 24 weeks of treatment without RBV is recommended.<sup>91,95</sup>

#### **Recommendation**

*21. Options for retreatment of patients with genotype 4 who failed a previous IFN-based regimen (regimens listed in alphabetical order):*

- *Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks (no cirrhosis) or 24 (cirrhosis). (IIa-B)*
- *Daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) and weight-based RBV for 12 weeks (no cirrhosis). (IIa-B)*
- *Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks and daily weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks for patients who are eligible to receive IFN. (IIa-B)*
- *Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks. (IIa-B)*

**Genotypes 5 and 6.** Few data are available to help guide decision making for patients infected with HCV genotype 5 or 6 in whom prior therapy has failed. Nonetheless, based on emerging data, sofosbuvir plus ledipasvir is recommended.<sup>83,84,88</sup>

#### **Recommendation**

*22. Patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6 infection in whom prior PEG-IFN and RBV treatment has failed should receive*

- *Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks. (IIa-B)*

#### **Alternative**

- *Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV plus weekly PEG-IFN for 12 weeks for patients who are IFN-eligible. (IIa-B)*

## **Monitoring Patients Before, During, and After Antiviral Therapy**

#### **Recommendation**

*23. Patients should be evaluated prior to starting therapy, during treatment, and following discontinuation of treatment in order to determine the severity of their liver disease and the efficacy and safety of their HCV treatment. The recommended evaluations (and ratings) are listed in Tables 5-7.*

Patients who do not achieve SVR because of failure of the treatment or who relapse or are reinfected after treatment completion may have continued liver injury and

**Table 5. Recommended Assessments Prior to Starting Antiviral Therapy**

Assessment of potential drug-drug interactions with concomitant medications is recommended prior to starting HCV therapy.

The following laboratory tests are recommended within 12 weeks prior to starting antiviral therapy:

- Complete blood count, international normalized ratio
- Hepatic function panel (albumin, total and direct bilirubin, ALT, aspartate aminotransferase, and alkaline phosphatase levels)
- Thyroid-stimulating hormone if IFN is used
- Calculated GFR

The following laboratory testing is recommended at any time prior to starting antiviral therapy:

- HCV genotype and subtype
- Quantitative HCV viral load, except in the circumstance that a quantitative viral load will influence duration of therapy

Rating: Class I, Level C

will have the potential to transmit HCV. Such patients should be monitored for progressive liver disease, counseled to prevent transmission, and considered for retreatment.

Patients in whom treatment fails should be monitored for signs and symptoms of cirrhosis and should be considered for treatment when alternative effective treatment is available.<sup>72,99</sup> Such patients may have a virus that is resistant to one or more of the antivirals used at the time of virological “breakthrough.”<sup>72,100</sup> However, there is no evidence to date that the presence of RAVs causes more liver injury than does wild-type virus. Further, the long-term persistence of such RAVS remains unknown. Subsequent retreatment with combination antivirals may overcome the presence of resistance to one or more antivirals. However, with the exception of testing for the Q80K polymorphism at baseline in patients with HCV genotype 1a infection before treatment with simeprevir plus PEG-IFN and RBV or treatment with sofosbuvir plus simeprevir in patients with cirrhosis, routine testing for RAVs before initial treat-

ment is not recommended. Emerging data suggest that assessment for RAVs in patients whose treatment with NS5A-containing regimens failed is warranted for those who require retreatment.

### Recommendation

**24. Patients who fail to achieve SVR should receive the following:**

- **Disease progression assessment every 6 months to 12 months with a hepatic function panel, complete blood count, and international normalized ratio. (I-C)**
- **Surveillance for HCC with ultrasound testing every 6 months for patients with advanced fibrosis (i.e., Metavir stage F3 or F4). (I-C)**
- **Endoscopic surveillance for esophageal varices if cirrhosis is present. (I-A)**
- **Evaluation for retreatment as effective alternative treatments become available. (I-C)**
- **Routine monitoring for HCV drug RAVs during or after therapy is not recommended except prior to treatment of (1) persons with HCV genotype 1a infection who are being considered for treatment with simeprevir with PEG-IFN and RBV, simeprevir, or sofosbuvir (cirrhosis) or (2) persons with HCV genotype 1 infection who were previously treated with an NS5A inhibitor and are being considered for retreatment. (III-C)**

Patients who have undetectable HCV RNA in the serum when assessed 12 or more weeks after completion of treatment are deemed to have achieved an SVR. In these patients, hepatitis C–related liver injury stops, although they remain at risk for non–hepatitis C–related liver disease, such as fatty liver or alcoholic liver disease. Patients with cirrhosis remain at risk for developing HCC.

**Table 6. Recommended Monitoring During Antiviral Therapy**

Clinic visits or telephone contacts are recommended as clinically indicated during treatment to ensure medication adherence and to monitor for adverse events and potential drug-drug interactions with newly prescribed medications.

The following laboratory testing is recommended:

- Complete blood count, creatinine level, calculated GFR, and hepatic function panel are recommended after 4 weeks of treatment and as clinically indicated.
- Thyroid-stimulating hormone is recommended every 12 weeks for patients receiving IFN.
- More frequent assessment for drug-related toxic effects (e.g., complete blood count for patients receiving RBV) is recommended as clinically indicated.
- Quantitative HCV viral load testing is recommended after 4 weeks of therapy and at 12 weeks following completion of therapy. Antiviral drug therapy should not be interrupted or discontinued if HCV RNA levels are not performed or available during treatment.
- Quantitative HCV viral load testing can be considered at the end of treatment and 24 weeks or longer following the completion of therapy.

Rating: Class I, Level B

Prompt discontinuation of therapy is recommended for (1) a 10-fold increase in ALT activity at week 4 or (2) any increase in ALT of less than 10-fold at week 4 that is accompanied by any weakness, nausea, vomiting, or jaundice or by increased bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, or international normalized ratio.

Asymptomatic increases in ALT of less than 10-fold elevated at week 4 should be closely monitored and repeated at week 6 and week 8.

Rating: Class I, Level B

**Table 7. Recommendations for Discontinuation of Treatment Because of Lack of Efficacy**

- If quantitative HCV viral load is detectable at week 4 of treatment, repeat quantitative HCV RNA viral load testing is recommended after 2 additional weeks of treatment (treatment week 6). If quantitative HCV viral load has increased by greater than 10-fold ( $>1 \log_{10}$  IU/mL) on repeat testing at week 6 (or thereafter), then discontinuation of HCV treatment is recommended.
- The significance of a positive HCV RNA test result at week 4 that remains positive but lower at week 6 or week 8 is unknown. No recommendation to stop therapy or extend therapy can be provided at this time.

Rating: Class III, Level C

An SVR typically aborts progression of liver injury, with regression of liver fibrosis in most, but not all, patients with an SVR.<sup>42,101-104</sup> Because of lack of progression, patients without advanced liver fibrosis (i.e., Metavir stage F0-F2) who achieve an SVR should receive standard medical care that is recommended for patients who were never infected with HCV.

Among patients with advanced liver fibrosis (i.e., Metavir stage F3 or F4) who achieve an SVR, decompensated liver disease (with the exception of HCC) rarely develops during follow-up and overall survival is prolonged.<sup>42,101-104</sup> Patients who have advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis continue to be at risk for development of HCC even after achieving an SVR, although their risk is much lower than the risk associated with persistent viremia.<sup>42,101-104</sup> Although liver fibrosis regresses in most patients who achieve an SVR<sup>42,101-104</sup> and bleeding from esophageal varices is rare,<sup>42,101-104</sup> patients with cirrhosis should undergo screening endoscopy for detection of esophageal varices; and these should be treated or monitored as indicated.<sup>60</sup>

Patients in whom an SVR is achieved but who have another potential cause of liver disease (e.g., excessive alcohol use, metabolic syndrome with or without confirmed fatty liver disease, iron overload, or hepatitis B virus) remain at risk for progression of fibrosis. It is recommended that such patients be educated about the risk of liver disease and monitored for liver disease progression. Periodic testing is recommended for patients with ongoing risk for HCV infection (e.g., illicit drug use or high-risk sexual exposure) or HCV reinfection. Flares in liver enzyme test results should prompt evaluation of possible *de novo* reinfection with HCV through a new exposure. Anti-HCV remains positive in most patients following an SVR. Thus, testing for HCV reinfection should be performed with an assay that detects HCV RNA (e.g., a quantitative HCV RNA test).

Individuals with inactive (no detectable virus) or past hepatitis B viral infection may experience reactivation and clinically apparent hepatitis during immunosuppressive treatment or chemotherapy. This does not occur with hepatitis C infection. Thus, routine HCV RNA testing during immunosuppressive treatment or prophylactic administration of antivirals during immunosuppressive treatment is not recommended.

### Recommendation

**25. Patients who achieve an SVR should receive the following:**

- **For patients without advanced fibrosis (i.e., Metavir fibrosis stage F0-F2), no additional follow-up is recommended. (I-B)**
- **Patients with advanced fibrosis (i.e., Metavir fibrosis stage F3 or F4) should undergo surveillance for HCC with twice-yearly abdominal imaging. (I-C)**
- **Continue endoscopy to screen for varices if cirrhosis is present. Patients in whom varices are found should be treated and followed up as indicated. (I-C)**
- **Assessment of other causes of liver disease for patients who have persistently abnormal liver function test results after achieving an SVR. (I-C)**
- **Assessment for HCV recurrence or reinfection is only necessary if the patient has ongoing risk for HCV infection or experiences otherwise unexplained hepatic dysfunction. In such cases, a quantitative HCV RNA assay, rather than an anti-HCV serology test, is recommended to test for HCV recurrence or reinfection. (I-A)**
- **Routine prospective monitoring for HCV infection recurrence among patients who achieved an SVR and who are receiving immunosuppressive treatment (e.g., systemic corticosteroids, antimetabolites, chemotherapy) is not recommended. (III-C)**

## Unique Patient Populations

### Decompensated Cirrhosis

#### Recommendation

**26. Patients with HCV who have decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; Child-Turcotte-Pugh [CTP] class B or C) should be referred to a medical practitioner who is highly experienced in the management of advanced liver disease and HCV treatment (ideally in a liver transplant center). (I-C)**

**Genotypes 1 and 4.** Emerging data support the use of DAA combinations in patients with decompensated

cirrhosis. Treatment-naïve or -experienced patients with HCV genotype 1 or 4 with CTP class B or C cirrhosis who received daily ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and RBV (600 mg, increased as tolerated) for 12 weeks or 24 weeks had similar SVR12 rates. Thus, a 12-week course of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and RBV is an appropriate regimen for patients with decompensated cirrhosis who are infected with HCV genotype 1 or 4. For patients with decompensated cirrhosis who are awaiting liver transplant, the impact of SVR on their priority for transplantation is unknown; analysis of outcomes in this population is required. As of December 2014, there are no data from studies of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir without RBV in patients with decompensated cirrhosis.

#### **Recommendation**

**27. Recommended treatment for patients with genotype 1 or 4 HCV and decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment, CTP class B or C) who may or may not be candidates for liver transplantation, including those with HCC:**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) and RBV (initial dose of 600 mg, increased as tolerated) for 12 weeks. (IIb-C)**
- **For patients with anemia or RBV intolerance, daily fixed-dose combination ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 24 weeks. (IIb-C)**

#### **Alternative**

- **For patients in whom prior sofosbuvir-based treatment has failed, daily fixed-dose combination ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) and RBV (initial dose of 600 mg, increased as tolerated) for 24 weeks. (IIb-C)**

**Genotypes 2 and 3.** In one study, 61 patients with HCV infection and HCC meeting Milan criteria for liver transplant were treated with sofosbuvir plus RBV for up to 48 weeks.<sup>105</sup> At 12 weeks posttransplant, 30 of the 43 patients who had undergone liver transplant, (70%) had undetectable HCV RNA, consistent with prevention of HCV recurrence. Ten patients experienced recurrent HCV, nine of whom had undetectable HCV RNA levels for less than 30 days pretransplant. Ten of the 11 (91%) patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 achieved SVR12. These data suggest that sofosbuvir and RBV can be given to liver transplant candidates with HCC and mildly decompensated cirrhosis.

#### **Recommendation**

**28. Recommended treatment for patients with genotype 2 or 3 and decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment, CTP class B or C) who may or may not be candidates for liver transplantation, including those with HCC.**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (with consideration of the patient's creatinine clearance rate and hemoglobin level) for up to 48 weeks. (IIb-B)**

#### **Patients Who Develop Recurrent HCV Infection After Liver Transplantation**

**Genotypes 1 and 4.** In a randomized controlled trial of 222 liver transplant recipients with recurrent genotype 1 or 4 HCV, participants were randomized to ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and RBV for 12 or 24 weeks.<sup>106</sup> In both the 12-week and 24-week arms SVR12 was achieved in 96% of patients with Metavir stage F0 to F3 fibrosis and compensated cirrhosis. Efficacy was lower in CTP class B (85% SVR12) or C (60% SVR12) cirrhosis, with no increase in SVR with 24-week duration. Because all patients received RBV, the safest presumption is that RBV contributes to the high SVR12 rates. However, based on other data,<sup>91</sup> 24 weeks of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir is an alternative for RBV-intolerant patients.

In a study of liver transplant recipients with mild recurrence of HCV genotype 1, PrOD plus weight-based RBV for 24 weeks achieved an SVR24 rate of 96%.<sup>107</sup> Because of the interaction between ritonavir and calcineurin inhibitors, prospective dose adjustments are required for cyclosporine and tacrolimus. In a retrospective analysis of sofosbuvir plus simeprevir with or without RBV in liver transplant recipients, the SVR4 rate was 92%.<sup>108</sup> Simeprevir should not be coadministered with cyclosporine but may be coadministered with tacrolimus with careful monitoring.

#### **Recommendation**

**29. Recommended regimen for treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 1 or 4 infection in the allograft, including those with compensated cirrhosis:**

- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) with weight-based RBV for 12 weeks for patients with HCV genotype 1 or 4 infection in the allograft. (I-B)**
- **Daily fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 24 weeks is**

*recommended for those who are RBV-intolerant or RBV-ineligible. (I-B)*

*Alternatives (for Patients Who Were not Previously Treated With Telaprevir- or Boceprevir-Containing Regimens)*

- *For patients with HCV genotype 1 in the allograft, including those with compensated cirrhosis, daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg) with or without weight-based RBV for 12 weeks. (I-B)*
- *For patients with genotype 1 HCV in the allograft, including early (Metavir fibrosis stage F0-F2) recurrence, daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks. (I-B)*

**Non-1 Genotypes.** Few data are available to guide treatment of patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 in the posttransplant setting; recommendations largely mirror those in the nontransplant population.

### **Recommendations**

**30. Treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 2 in the allograft, including those with compensated cirrhosis, should receive daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks. (IIb-C)**

**31. Treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 3 in the allograft should receive the following:**

#### **Compensated Cirrhosis**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV for 24 weeks (I-B)**

#### **Decompensated Cirrhosis (CTP Class B or C)**

- **Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and low initial dose of RBV (600 mg, increasing as tolerated) for 24 weeks. (I-B)**

**Patients With Renal Impairment.** The currently approved DAAs can be safely dosed in persons with mild-to-moderate renal impairment (CrCl rate 30-80 mL/min). However, there are few data to guide dosing of these agents in severe renal impairment/end-stage renal disease (CrCl < 30 mL/min). Studies of PrOD with or without RBV (for HCV genotype 1a or 1b) in treatment-naïve patients without cirrhosis with severe renal impairment show early promising efficacy [109].

Caution is warranted in managing anemia related to RBV, and RBV should not be given if the baseline hemoglobin level is less than 10 g/dL.

### **Recommendations**

**32. For patients with mild to moderate renal impairment (CrCl rate >30-80 mL/min), no dosage adjustment is required when using sofosbuvir, simeprevir, fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg), or fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) to treat or retreat HCV infection in patients with appropriate genotypes. (I-A)**

**33. For treatment-naïve patients with HCV genotype 1 without cirrhosis and with CrCl rates <30 mL/min, treatment with the daily fixed-dose combination of paritaprevir (150 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg)/ombitasvir (25 mg) plus twice-daily dosed dasabuvir (250 mg) with (1a) or without (1b) RBV (200 mg) once daily is recommended. RBV should only be given if the baseline hemoglobin level is >10 g/dL. For patients with moderate renal impairment (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] 30-50 mL/min), initial RBV dosing should be 200 mg or 400 mg alternating every other day. For patients with severe renal impairment or who are on hemodialysis (eGFR <30 mL/min), initial RBV dosing should be 200 mg daily. (II-B)**

## **HIV/HCV Coinfection**

Compared to those with HCV infection alone, persons with HCV/HIV coinfection have a higher rate of HCV persistence, faster progression to cirrhosis and end-stage liver disease, and higher HCV RNA levels.<sup>110-112</sup> Persons coinfecting with HIV/HCV also had lower responses to PEG-IFN and RBV than those with HCV infection alone, an observation largely explained by the higher baseline HCV RNA levels.<sup>113,114</sup> However, with the advent of DAAs, differences in treatment responses between mono- and coinfecting patients have not been detected.<sup>115,116</sup> Thus, the treatment recommendations for HIV/HCV-coinfecting persons are the same as for those with just HCV infection, with consideration of potential drug-drug interactions with HIV medications.

In some instances pharmacokinetic testing has been done in HIV/HCV-coinfecting persons, and there is clinical experience with the combinations (see [www.hcvguidelines.org](http://www.hcvguidelines.org)). In others, the pharmacology is predicted or based on

healthy volunteer studies. The chief concern with the combination of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir is potentiation of the nephrotoxicity of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (tenofovir). Tenofovir levels are increased by ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and may be even higher with coadministration of other antiretroviral drugs that raise tenofovir levels, particularly ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors. With PrOD, the inclusion of 100 mg of ritonavir in the fixed combination will also “boost” HIV protease inhibitors (and some other medications) and additional ritonavir should be discontinued, then restarted when HCV treatment is finished. In healthy volunteers, when PrOD was combined with efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir, gastrointestinal and neurologic adverse events occurred along with elevations of ALT. When the regimen was combined with rilpivirine, exposures to rilpivirine were substantially increased. Therefore, rilpivirine and efavirenz should not be used with the PrOD regimen.<sup>117,118</sup>

Clinical trials have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in HIV-infected persons with HCV genotype 1 or 4 who were generally taking antiretroviral therapy that included tenofovir and emtricitabine with rilpivirine, raltegravir, or efavirenz.<sup>119,120</sup> Data are derived from studies that included 335 HCV treatment-naïve and -experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected persons as well as those with or without cirrhosis. Clinical trial data in HIV-infected individuals with HCV genotype 1 or 4 infection have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 12 weeks<sup>119,120</sup> and of PrOD with or without RBV<sup>121</sup> for 12 weeks.

Data on treatment of HIV/HCV-coinfected persons with HCV genotype 2 or 3 support use of similar regimens as are recommended for persons without HIV infection.<sup>115,122</sup> Antiretroviral regimens allowed included combinations of tenofovir and emtricitabine with efavirenz, raltegravir, ritonavir-boosted atazanavir, ritonavir-boosted darunavir, or rilpivirine. High SVR12 rates were observed for genotype 2 (89%) and genotype 3 (84%). Treatment was generally well tolerated.

### Recommendations

**34. HIV/HCV-coinfected persons should be treated and retreated the same as persons without HIV infection, after recognizing and managing interactions with antiretroviral medications. (I-B)**

**35. Antiretroviral treatment interruption to allow HCV therapy is not recommended. (III-A)**

**36. Antiretroviral and HCV drug interactions should be assessed prior to initiating therapy. Drug switches, when needed, should be done in collabora-**

**tion with the HIV practitioner. For HIV antiretroviral and HCV DAA combinations not addressed below, expert consultation is recommended. (I-A)**

**37. The following are recommendations related to specific drug combinations which need to be considered in coinfecting patients:**

### Ledipasvir

- **Ledipasvir increases tenofovir levels and should be avoided in those with CrCl < 60 mL/min. Because potentiation of this effect is expected when tenofovir is used with ritonavir-boosted HIV protease inhibitors, ledipasvir should be avoided with this combination (pending further data) unless antiretroviral regimen cannot be changed and the urgency of treatment is high. (IIa-C)**

### Sofosbuvir and Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir

- **Fixed-dose combination of ledipasvir (90 mg)/sofosbuvir (400 mg) (hereafter ledipasvir/sofosbuvir) should not be used with cobicistat and elvitegravir, pending further data. (III-C)**
- **Sofosbuvir or ledipasvir/sofosbuvir should not be used with tipranavir. (III-B)**

### PrOD

- **PrOD should be used with antiretroviral drugs with which it does not have substantial interactions: raltegravir (and probably dolutegravir), enfuvirtide, tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, and atazanavir. The dose of ritonavir used for boosting HIV protease inhibitors may need to be adjusted (or held) when administered with PrOD and then restored when HCV treatment is completed. The HIV protease inhibitor should be administered at the same time as the fixed-dose HCV combination. (IIa-C)**
- **PrOD should not be used with efavirenz, rilpivirine, darunavir, or ritonavir-boosted lopinavir. (III-B)**
- **PrOD should not be used in HIV/HCV-coinfected individuals who are not taking antiretroviral therapy. (III-B)**

### Simeprevir

- **Simeprevir should not be used with efavirenz, etravirine, nevirapine, cobicistat, or any HIV protease inhibitor. (III-B)**
- **Simeprevir should only be used with antiretroviral drugs with which it does not have clinically significant interactions: raltegravir (and**

*probably dolutegravir), rilpivirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide, tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, and abacavir. (IIa-B)*

### **RBV**

- *RBV should not be used with didanosine, stavudine, or zidovudine. (III-B)*

## **Acute HCV Infection**

Infection with HCV is considered to be acute during the first 6 months. Infections of less than 6-month duration spontaneously resolve in 15%-50% of cases, whereas spontaneous resolution occurs in fewer than 5% once infection persists for several years.<sup>123-126</sup> Within the first months of HCV infection, there is also a transition to (particularly in patients with unfavorable *IL28B* genotypes and genotype 1 HCV infection) decreasing responsiveness of HCV infection to PEG-IFN.<sup>127,128</sup>

Aside from instances in which there is a single exposure such as a health care worker with a needlestick injury, it is often impossible to know exactly when infection occurred. With the transition to IFN-free treatments, this determination is less crucial because acute HCV infection can now be managed like chronic infection. However, better methods of differentiating acute from chronic infection would be useful for public health surveillance.

The decision of when to treat acute HCV infection is largely based on the likelihood of spontaneous resolution, the possibility of transmission to others, the efficacy and safety of treatment in the acute compared with the chronic phase of infection, and patient preference. Previously when PEG-IFN was used, responses were better if genotype 1 HCV infection was treated within the first 12-16 weeks rather than a year after infection.<sup>127,128</sup> Because the majority of spontaneous resolutions also occur in the first 12-16 weeks, treatment was recommended within that window.<sup>129</sup> With 12-week PEG-IFN-sparing regimens, SVR rates for treatment of chronic infection are higher than 90% and much safer. Consequently, unless necessary to prevent transmission to others or strongly preferred by the patient or practitioner for other reasons, persons with acute HCV infection should be monitored for 6 or more months. If spontaneous clearance has not occurred, treatment should follow the same recommendations as for chronic infection. Likewise, even when treatment is provided earlier than 6 months after infection, it is recommended to monitor at least 12 weeks for spontaneous resolution and use the same regimens as with chronic infection

until studies demonstrate the superiority (or noninferiority) of alternatives.

The optimal timing and intensity of monitoring in acute infection vary depending on the treatment considerations described above and whether there is evidence of severe hepatitis. At a minimum, HCV RNA should be assessed 4-6 months after the estimated onset of infection to establish if chronic infection occurred. Often, more frequent testing of HCV RNA and liver enzymes is preferred.

### **Recommendations**

**38. The following are recommended in the diagnosis and initial management of acute hepatitis C:**

- *HCV antibody and HCV RNA testing are recommended when acute HCV infection is suspected due to exposure, clinical presentation, or elevated aminotransferase levels. (I-C)*
- *Regular laboratory monitoring (every 4-8 weeks for 6-12 months) is recommended in the setting of acute HCV infection until the ALT level normalizes and HCV RNA becomes repeatedly undetectable, suggesting spontaneous resolution. (I-B)*
- *If a decision has been made to initiate treatment during the acute infection period, monitoring HCV RNA for at least 12-16 weeks is recommended to detect spontaneous clearance before starting treatment. (IIa-C)*
- *If the practitioner and patient have decided that a delay in treatment initiation is acceptable, monitoring for spontaneous clearance is recommended for a minimum of 6 months. (IIa-C)*
- *Counseling is recommended for patients with acute HCV infection to avoid hepatotoxic insults, including hepatotoxic drugs (e.g., acetaminophen) and alcohol consumption, and to reduce the risk of HCV transmission to others. (I-C)*
- *Referral to an addiction medicine specialist is recommended for patients with acute HCV infection related to injection drug use. (I-B)*

**39. Treatment of individuals with acute hepatitis C should be the same as that recommended for chronic HCV infection (see *When and in Whom to Initiate HCV Therapy*). (IIa-C)**

### **Alternative**

- *PEG-IFN with or without RBV for 16 weeks (for those with HCV genotype 2 or 3 who have a rapid virological response) to 24 weeks (for those with HCV genotype 1). (II-A)*



*Acknowledgment:* We thank Judith Welsh of the National Institutes of Health Library, for providing regular literature searches to the panel, and the able staff of the International Antiviral Society-USA, particularly Donna Jacobsen, Cindy Downing, and Michelle Tayag Valderama for managing the process and assistance in manuscript preparation.

## **AASLD/IDSA HCV Guidance Panel Members and Authors**

### *Current Panel Members Involved in the Development of This Guidance*

#### **Cochairs**

**Raymond T. Chung, M.D.**

Director of Hepatology and Vice Chief of Gastroenterology  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
Boston, MA

**Gary L. Davis, M.D.**

Director, Hepatology  
Medical Director, Liver Transplantation  
Baylor University Medical Center (retired)  
Dallas, TX

**Donald M. Jensen, MD (Immediate Past Chair)**

Professor of Medicine (retired)  
University of Chicago Medical Center  
Chicago, IL

**Henry Masur, M.D.**

Clinical Professor of Medicine  
Chief, Critical Care Medicine Department  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MD

**Michael S. Saag, M.D.**

Professor of Medicine and Associate Dean for Global Health  
The University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine  
Birmingham, AL

**David L. Thomas, M.D., M.P.H.**

Professor of Medicine and Director of Infectious Diseases  
The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine  
Baltimore, MD

#### **Members**

**Andrew I. Aronsohn, M.D.**

Assistant Professor of Medicine  
University of Chicago Medical Center  
Chicago, IL

**Michael R. Charlton, M.D.**

Director of Hepatology and Medical Director of Liver Transplantation  
Intermountain Medical Center  
Murray, UT

**Jordan J. Feld, M.D., M.P.H.**

Assistant Professor of Medicine and Research Director of Gastroenterology, Medicine  
Toronto Western Hospital Liver Center  
Toronto, Canada

**Robert J. Fontana, M.D.**

Professor of Medicine and Medical Director of Liver Transplantation  
University of Michigan Medical School  
Ann Arbor, MI

**Marc G. Ghany, M.D.**

Staff Physician, Liver Diseases Branch  
National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, MA

**Eliot W. Godofsky, M.D., F.A.C.P.**

Director of Infectious Diseases  
Bach and Godofsky  
Bradenton, FL

**Camilla S. Graham, M.D., M.P.H.**

Assistant Professor of Medicine  
Harvard Medical School  
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center  
Boston, MA

**Arthur Y. Kim, M.D.**

Assistant Professor of Medicine  
Harvard Medical School  
Director of Viral Hepatitis Clinic, ID Division  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
Boston, MA

**Jennifer J. Kiser, Pharm.D.**

Assistant Professor of Pharmacology and Associate Director, Center for Translational Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacogenomics  
University of Colorado  
Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences  
Aurora, CO

**Shyam Kottilil, M.D., Ph.D.**

Professor of Medicine and Associate Chief of Division of Clinical Care and Research  
Institute of Human Virology/University of Maryland  
Baltimore, MD

**Kristen M. Marks, M.D.**

Assistant Professor of Medicine  
Weill Cornell Medical College  
New York, NY

**Paul Martin, M.D.**

Professor of Medicine and Chief of the Division of  
Hepatology  
University of Miami School of Medicine  
Miami, FL

**Kiren Mitruka, M.D., M.P.H.\***

Medical Officer, Division of Viral Hepatitis  
National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis,  
STD, and TB Prevention  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
Atlanta, GA

**Timothy R. Morgan, M.D.**

Chief of Hepatology  
Veterans Affairs Long Beach Healthcare System  
Long Beach, CA

**Susanna Naggie, M.D., M.H.S.**

Assistant Professor of Medicine  
Duke University School of Medicine  
Director of Infectious Diseases Research, Duke  
Clinical Research Institute  
Durham, NC

**Daniel Raymond**

Community Representative  
Policy Director  
Harm Reduction Coalition  
New York, NY

**Nancy S. Reau, M.D.**

Associate Professor of Medicine  
University of Chicago Medical Center  
Chicago, IL

**Robert T. Schooley, M.D.**

Professor and Vice Chair of Medicine, Chief of the  
Division of Infectious Diseases  
University of California San Diego  
La Jolla, CA

**Kenneth E. Sherman, M.D., Ph.D.**

Gould Professor of Medicine and Director of the  
Division of Digestive Diseases  
University of Cincinnati College of Medicine  
Cincinnati, OH

**Mark S. Sulkowski, M.D.**

Professor of Medicine and Medical Director of the  
Viral Hepatitis Center

The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine  
Baltimore, MD

**Hugo E. Vargas, M.D.**

Professor of Medicine and Director of Hepatology  
College of Medicine, Mayo Clinic  
Phoenix, AZ

**John W. Ward, M.D.\***

Director of the Division of Viral Hepatitis  
National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis,  
STD, and TB Prevention  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
Atlanta, GA

**David L. Wyles, M.D.**

Associate Professor of Medicine  
University of California San Diego  
La Jolla, CA

\*Nonvoting member.

## AASLD/IDSA HCV Guidance Panel Financial Relationships With Commercial Entities

### *Cochairs*

Dr. Chung has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, MassBiologics, and Merck & Co. (updated January 6, 2015).

Dr. Davis serves on data and safety monitoring boards for Bristol-Myers Squibb (ceased as of August 2014) and Gilead Sciences (duties do not include any hepatitis drugs; updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Masur has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated April 13, 2015).

Dr. Saag has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, Merck & Co., and ViiV Healthcare (updated May 4, 2015).

Dr. Thomas received royalties from UpToDate (updated January 14, 2015).

### *Immediate Past Chair*

Dr. Jensen receives honoraria from Gilead Sciences (updated April 1, 2015).

### *Panel Members*

Dr. Aronsohn has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated February 5, 2015).

Dr. Charlton serves on the advisory boards of or as a consultant to AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, and Janssen

Therapeutics. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from Gilead Sciences and Novartis International (updated January 26, 2015).

Dr. Feld serves on the advisory boards of AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, Merck & Co., and Theravance. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, Merck & Co., and Santaris (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Fontana served on a data and safety monitoring board for Tibotec Therapeutics and serves as a consultant to Bristol-Myers Squibb. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals (updated January 16, 2015).

Dr. Ghany has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Godofsky serves on the advisory boards of AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, and Janssen Therapeutics. He was awarded research grants, paid to his practice, from AbbVie, Achillion, Anadys, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Genentech, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, Merck & Co., Novartis, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals (updated May 8, 2015).

Dr. Graham has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated March 26, 2015).

Dr. Kim serves on the advisory boards of AbbVie and Bristol-Myers Squibb. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie and Gilead Sciences (updated February 5, 2015).

Dr. Kiser has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. She was awarded research grants, paid to her institution, from Janssen Therapeutics, Merck & Co., and ViiV Healthcare (updated April 20, 2015).

Dr. Kottlil has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Marks has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. She was awarded research grants, paid to her institution, from Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, Merck & Co., and Vertex Pharmaceuticals (updated October 20, 2014).

Dr. Martin serves on an advisory board for Bristol-Myers Squibb. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, and Merck & Co. (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Mitruka has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated January 13, 2015).

Dr. Morgan has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Genen-

tech, Gilead Sciences, Merck & Co., and Roche (updated January 26, 2015).

Dr. Naggie serves on advisory boards for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, and Merck & Co. She was awarded research grants, paid to her institution, from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals (updated March 2, 2015).

Mr. Raymond has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. He was awarded educational grants, paid to his organization, from Genentech, Gilead Sciences, and Janssen Therapeutics (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Reau serves on the advisory boards of AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, and Merck & Co. and serves as a consultant for Accordant. She was awarded research grants, paid to her institution, from Abbott Laboratories, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Hyperion Therapeutics, and Merck & Co. (updated January 15, 2015).

Dr. Schooley serves as a consultant to and has stock options from CytoDyn. He has stock from GlobeImmune. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals and Bristol-Myers Squibb. His institution has received payment for his consultative advice or data safety monitoring committee service from Bristol-Myers Squibb, GlobeImmune, Gilead Sciences, and Monogram Biosciences (updated April 26, 2015).

Dr. Sherman serves on a data and safety monitoring board for Janssen Therapeutics and SynteractHCR and has served on the advisory boards of Merck & Co. and MedImmune. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, MedImmune, Merck & Co. and Vertex Pharmaceuticals (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Sulkowski serves on the advisory boards of AbbVie, Achillion Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, and Merck & Co. He has also served on data and safety monitoring boards for Gilead Sciences. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Therapeutics, and Merck & Co. (updated March 9, 2015).

Dr. Vargas has no relevant personal financial affiliations to disclose. He was awarded research grants, paid to his institution, from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Gilead Sciences (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Ward has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose (updated January 14, 2015).

Dr. Wyles serves on the advisory board of Bristol-Myers Squibb. He was awarded research grants, paid to

his institution, from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Merck & Co., and Tacere Therapeutics (updated April 27, 2015).

## References

- American Heart Association. Methodology Manual and Policies From the ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines. [http://my.americanheart.org/idc/groups/ahamah-public/@wcm/@sop/documents/downloadable/ucm\\_319826.pdf](http://my.americanheart.org/idc/groups/ahamah-public/@wcm/@sop/documents/downloadable/ucm_319826.pdf). Accessed January 27, 2014.
- Shiffman RN, Shekelle P, Overhage JM, Slutsky J, Grimshaw J, Deshpande AM. Standardized reporting of clinical practice guidelines: a proposal from the Conference on Guideline Standardization. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;139:493-498.
- Denniston MM, Jiles RB, Drobeniuc J, Klevens RM, Ward JW, McQuillan GM, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003 to 2010. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;160:293-300.
- Denniston MM, Klevens RM, McQuillan GM, Jiles RB. Awareness of infection, knowledge of hepatitis C, and medical follow-up among individuals testing positive for hepatitis C: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001-2008. *HEPATOLOGY* 2012;55:1652-1661.
- Smith BD, Morgan RL, Beckett GA, Falck-Ytter Y, Holtzman D, Teo CG, et al. Recommendations for the identification of chronic hepatitis C virus infection among persons born during 1945-1965. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2012;61:1-32.
- US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;159:349-357.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1998; 47:1-39.
- Mahajan R, Liu SJ, Klevens RM, Holmberg SD. Indications for testing among reported cases of HCV infection from enhanced hepatitis surveillance sites in the United States, 2004-2010. *Am J Public Health* 2013;103:1445-1449.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Testing for HCV infection: an update of guidance for clinicians and laboratorians. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2013;62:362-365.
- Alter MJ, Kuhnert WL, Finelli L. Guidelines for laboratory testing and result reporting of antibody to hepatitis C virus. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2003;52:1-13, 15.
- Lee SR, Kardos KW, Schiff E, Berne CA, Mounzer K, Banks AT, et al. Evaluation of a new, rapid test for detecting HCV infection, suitable for use with blood or oral fluid. *J Virol Methods* 2011;172:27-31.
- Pawlotsky JM. Use and interpretation of virological tests for hepatitis C. *HEPATOLOGY* 2002;36:S65-S73.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of hepatitis C in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2008;S1-S99.
- Aberg JA, Gallant JE, Ghanem KG, Emmanuel P, Zingman BS, Horberg MA. Primary care guidelines for the management of persons infected with HIV: 2013 update by the HIV medicine association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;58: e1-e34.
- Linas BP, Wong AY, Schackman BR, Kim AY, Freedberg KA. Cost-effective screening for acute hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;55:279-290.
- Wandeler G, Gsponer T, Bregenzer A, Günthard HF, Clerc O, Calmy A, et al. Hepatitis C virus infections in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study: a rapidly evolving epidemic. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;55:1408-1416.
- Witt MD, Seaberg EC, Darilay A, Young S, Badri S, Rinaldo CR, et al. Incident hepatitis C virus infection in men who have sex with men: a prospective cohort analysis, 1984-2011. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 57:77-84.
- Bravo MJ, Vallejo F, Barrio G, Brugal MT, Molist G, Pulido J, et al. HCV seroconversion among never-injecting heroin users at baseline: no predictors identified other than starting injection. *Int J Drug Policy* 2012;23:415-419.
- Williams IT, Bell BP, Kuhnert W, Alter MJ. Incidence and transmission patterns of acute hepatitis C in the United States, 1982-2006. *Arch Intern Med* 2011;171:242-248.
- Poynard T, Bedossa P, Opolon P. Natural history of liver fibrosis progression in patients with chronic hepatitis C. The OBSVIR, META-VIR, CLINIVIR, and DOSVIR groups. *Lancet* 1997;349:825-832.
- Harris DR, Gonin R, Alter HJ, Wright EC, Buskell ZJ, Hollinger FB, et al. The relationship of acute transfusion-associated hepatitis to the development of cirrhosis in the presence of alcohol abuse. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;134:120-124.
- Wiley TE, McCarthy M, Breidi L, McCarthy M, Layden TJ. Impact of alcohol on the histological and clinical progression of hepatitis C infection. *HEPATOLOGY* 1998;28:805-809.
- Corrao G, Arico S. Independent and combined action of hepatitis C virus infection and alcohol consumption on the risk of symptomatic liver cirrhosis. *HEPATOLOGY* 1998;27:914-919.
- Bellentani S, Pozzato G, Saccoccio G, Crovatto M, Crocè LS, Mazzoran L, et al. Clinical course and risk factors of hepatitis C virus related liver disease in the general population: report from the Dionysos study. *Gut* 1999;44:874-880.
- Noda K, Yoshihara H, Suzuki K, Yamada Y, Kasahara A, Hayashi N, et al. Progression of type C chronic hepatitis to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma—its relationship to alcohol drinking and the age of transfusion. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 1996;20:95A-100A.
- Safdar K, Schiff ER. Alcohol and hepatitis C. *Semin Liver Dis* 2004; 24:305-315.
- Thein HH, Yi Q, Dore GJ, Krahn MD. Natural history of hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected individuals and the impact of HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: a meta-analysis. *AIDS* 2008;22:1979-1991.
- Zarski JP, Bohn B, Bastie A, Pawlotsky JM, Baud M, Bost-Bezeaux F, et al. Characteristics of patients with dual infection by hepatitis B and C viruses. *J Hepatol* 1998;28:27-33.
- Moyer VA. Screening for HIV: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;159:51-60.
- Weinbaum CM, Williams I, Mast EE, Wang SA, Finelli L, Wasley A; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for identification and public health management of persons with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2008;57:1-20.
- Hourigan LF, Macdonald GA, Purdie D, Whitehall VH, Shorthouse C, Clouston A, et al. Fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C correlates significantly with body mass index and steatosis. *HEPATOLOGY* 1999;29:1215-1219.
- Ortiz V, Berenguer M, Rayon JM, Carrasco D, Berenguer J. Contribution of obesity to hepatitis C-related fibrosis progression. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:2408-2414.
- Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. A meta-analysis of randomized trials for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *HEPATOLOGY* 2010;52:79-104.
- Shaw K, Gennat H, O'Rourke P, Del MC. Exercise for overweight or obesity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;CD003817.
- Holmberg SD, Spradling PR, Moorman AC, Denniston MM. Hepatitis C in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1859-1861.
- Morrill JA, Shrestha M, Grant RW. Barriers to the treatment of hepatitis C. Patient, provider, and system factors. *J Gen Intern Med* 2005; 20:754-758.
- Reilly B, Leston J, Redd JT, Geiger R. Lack of access to treatment as a barrier to HCV screening: a facility-based assessment in the Indian health service. *J Public Health Manag Pract* 2014;20:420-423.
- McGowan CE, Monis A, Bacon BR, Mallolas J, Goncalves FL, Goulis I, et al. A global view of hepatitis C: physician knowledge, opinions, and perceived barriers to care. *HEPATOLOGY* 2013;57:1325-1332.

39. Swain MG, Lai MY, Shiffman ML, Cooksley WG, Zeuzem S, Dieterich DT, et al. A sustained virologic response is durable in patients with chronic hepatitis C treated with peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin. *Gastroenterology* 2010;139:1593-1601.
40. Manns MP, Pockros PJ, Norkrans G, Smith CI, Morgan TR, Häussinger D, et al. Long-term clearance of hepatitis C virus following interferon alpha-2b or peginterferon alpha-2b, alone or in combination with ribavirin. *J Viral Hepat* 2013;20:524-529.
41. Poynard T, McHutchison J, Manns M, Trepo C, Lindsay K, Goodman Z, et al. Impact of pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin on liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2002;122:1303-1313.
42. Morgan RL, Baack B, Smith BD, Yartel A, Pitasi M, Falck-Ytter Y. Eradication of hepatitis C virus infection and the development of hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;158:329-337.
43. van der Meer AJ, Veldt BJ, Feld JJ, Wedemeyer H, Dufour JF, Lammert F, et al. Association between sustained virological response and all-cause mortality among patients with chronic hepatitis C and advanced hepatic fibrosis. *JAMA* 2012;308:2584-2593.
44. Veldt BJ, Heathcote EJ, Wedemeyer H, Reichen J, Hoffmann WP, Zeuzem S, et al. Sustained virologic response and clinical outcomes in patients with chronic hepatitis C and advanced fibrosis. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:677-684.
45. Fabrizi F, Dixit V, Messa P. Antiviral therapy of symptomatic HCV-associated mixed cryoglobulinemia: meta-analysis of clinical studies. *J Med Virol* 2013;85:1019-1027.
46. Landau DA, Scerra S, Sene D, Resche-Rigon M, Saadoun D, Cacoub P. Causes and predictive factors of mortality in a cohort of patients with hepatitis C virus-related cryoglobulinemic vasculitis treated with antiviral therapy. *J Rheumatol* 2010;37:615-621.
47. Gisbert JP, Garcia-Buey L, Pajares JM, Moreno-Otero R. Systematic review: regression of lymphoproliferative disorders after treatment for hepatitis C infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:653-662.
48. Takahashi K, Nishida N, Kawabata H, Haga H, Chiba T. Regression of Hodgkin lymphoma in response to antiviral therapy for hepatitis C virus infection. *Intern Med* 2012;51:2745-2747.
49. Svoboda J, Andreadis C, Downs LH, Miller WT Jr, Tsai DE, Schuster SJ. Regression of advanced non-splenic marginal zone lymphoma after treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2005;46:1365-1368.
50. Mazzaro C, Little D, Pozzato G. Regression of splenic lymphoma after treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:2168-2170.
51. Hermine O, Lefrere F, Bronowicki JP, Mariette X, Jondeau K, Eclache-Saudreau V, et al. Regression of splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes after treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:89-94.
52. Backus LI, Boothroyd DB, Phillips BR, Belperio P, Halloran J, Mole LA. A sustained virologic response reduces risk of all-cause mortality in patients with hepatitis C. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;9:509-516.
53. Neary MP, Cort S, Bayliss MS, Ware JE Jr. Sustained virologic response is associated with improved health-related quality of life in relapsed chronic hepatitis C patients. *Semin Liver Dis* 1999;19:77-85.
54. Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Henry L, Gane E, Jacobson IM, Lawitz E, et al. Effects of sofosbuvir-based treatment, with and without interferon, on outcome and productivity of patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;12:1349-1359.
55. Jezequel C, Bardou-Jacquet E, Desille Y, Renard I, Lainé F, Lelan C, et al. Survival of patients infected by chronic hepatitis C and F0F1 fibrosis at baseline after a 15 year follow-up [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria. Abstract PO709.
56. Øvrehus ALH, Blach S, Christensen PB, Gerstoft J, Weis N, Krarup H, et al. Impact of prioritizing treatment in a high resource setting—minimizing the burden of HCV related disease in 15 years [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria. Abstract PO714.
57. Zahnd C, Salazar-Vizcaya LP, Wandeler G, Bertisch B, Keiser O, Dufour J-F, et al. Impact of deferring HCV treatment on liver-related events in HIV+ patients [Abstract]. Proceedings of the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 23-26, 2015; Seattle, WA. Abstract 150.
58. McCombs JS, Tonnu-MiHara I, Matsuda T, McGinnis J, Fox S. Can hepatitis C treatment be safely delayed? Evidence from the Veterans Administration Healthcare System [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria. Abstract 0003.
59. Everhart JE, Wright EC, Goodman ZD, Dienstag JL, Hoefs JC, Kleiner DE, et al. Prognostic value of Ishak fibrosis stage: findings from the hepatitis C antiviral long-term treatment against cirrhosis trial. *HEPATOLOGY* 2010;51:585-594.
60. Garcia-Tsao G, Sanyal AJ, Grace ND, Carey W. Prevention and management of gastroesophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. *HEPATOLOGY* 2007;46:922-938.
61. Bruix J, Sherman M. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *HEPATOLOGY* 2011;53:1020-1022.
62. Bedossa P, Dargère D, Paradis V. Sampling variability of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *HEPATOLOGY* 2003;38:1449-1457.
63. Sebastiani G, Halfon P, Castera L, Pol S, Thomas DL, Mangia A, et al. SAFE biopsy: a validated method for large-scale staging of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *HEPATOLOGY* 2009;49:1821-1827.
64. Castera L, Sebastiani G, Le Bail B, de Lédinghen V, Couzigou P, Alberti A. Prospective comparison of two algorithms combining non-invasive methods for staging liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *J Hepatol* 2010;52:191-198.
65. Chou R, Wasson N. Blood tests to diagnose fibrosis or cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;159:372.
66. Ziol M, Handra-Luca A, Kettaneh A, Christidis C, Mal F, Kazemi F, et al. Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis by measurement of stiffness in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *HEPATOLOGY* 2005;41:48-54.
67. Boursier J, de Lédinghen V, Zarski JP, Fouchard-Hubert I, Gallois Y, Oberti F, et al. Comparison of eight diagnostic algorithms for liver fibrosis in hepatitis C: new algorithms are more precise and entirely noninvasive. *HEPATOLOGY* 2012;55:58-67.
68. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, Chojkier M, Gitlin N, Puoti M, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1889-1898.
69. Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, Rossaro L, Bernstein DE, Lawitz E, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1879-1888.
70. Ferenci P, Bernstein D, Lalezari J, Cohen D, Luo Y, Cooper C, et al. ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with or without ribavirin for HCV. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1983-1992.
71. Poordad F, Hezode C, Trinh R, Kowdley KV, Zeuzem S, Agarwal K, et al. ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin for hepatitis C with cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1973-1982.
72. Lawitz E, Poordad FF, Pang PS, Hyland RH, Ding X, Mo H, et al. Sofosbuvir and ledipasvir fixed-dose combination with and without ribavirin in treatment-naïve and previously treated patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection (LONESTAR): an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2014;383:515-523.
73. Lawitz E, Matusow G, DeJesus E, Yoshida E, Felizarta F, Ghalib R, et al. A phase 3, open-label, single-arm study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of 12 weeks of simeprevir (SMV) plus sofosbuvir (SOF) in treatment-naïve or -experienced patients with chronic HCV genotype 1 infection and cirrhosis: OPTIMIST-2 [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria. Abstract LP04.
74. Jensen DM, O'Leary JG, Pockros P, Sherman K, Kwo P, Mailliar M, et al. Safety and efficacy of sofosbuvir-containing regimens for

- hepatitis C: real-world experience in a diverse, longitudinal observational cohort [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 45.
75. Dieterich D, Bacon B, Flamm SL, Kowdley K, Milligan S, Tsai N, et al. Evaluation of sofosbuvir and simeprevir-based regimens in the TRIO network: academic and community treatment of a real-world, heterogeneous population [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 46.
  76. Lawitz E, Mangia A, Wyles D, Rodriguez-Torres M, Hassanein T, Gordon SC, et al. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1878-1887.
  77. Jacobson IM, Gordon SC, Kowdley KV, Yoshida EM, Rodriguez-Torres M, Sulkowski MS, et al. Sofosbuvir for hepatitis C genotype 2 or 3 in patients without treatment options. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1867-1877.
  78. Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, Mangia A, Flisiak R, Hyland RH, et al. Sofosbuvir + ribavirin for 12 or 24 weeks for patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3: the VALENCE trial [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 1-5, 2013; Washington, DC. Abstract 1085.
  79. Zeuzem S, Jacobson IM, Baykal T, Marinho RT, Poordad F, Bourlière M, et al. Retreatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1604-1614.
  80. Foster GR, Pianko S, Cooper C, Brown A, Forton D, Nahass RG, et al. Sofosbuvir + peginterferon/ribavirin for 12 weeks vs sofosbuvir + ribavirin for 16 or 24 weeks in genotype 3 HCV infected patients and treatment-experienced cirrhotic patients with genotype 2 HCV: the BOSON study. Presented at the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria.
  81. Nelson DR, Cooper JN, Lalezari JP, Lawitz E, Pockros P, Freilich BF, et al. All-oral 12-week combination treatment with daclatasvir (DCV) and sofosbuvir (SOF) in patients infected with HCV genotype (GT) 3: ALLY-3 phase 3 study [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract LB-3.
  82. Pol S, Reddy KR, Hezode C, Hassanein T, Marcellin P, Berenguer M, et al. Interferon-free regimens of ombitasvir and ABT-450/r with or without ribavirin in patients with HCV genotype 4 infection: PEARL-I study results [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA.
  83. Kapoor R, Kohli A, Sidharthan S, Sims Z, Petersen TL, Osinusi A, et al. All oral treatment for genotype 4 chronic hepatitis C infection with sofosbuvir and ledipasvir: interim results from the NIAID SYNERGY trial [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 240.
  84. Abergel A, Loustaud-Ratti V, Metivier S, Jiang D, Kersey K, Knox SJ, et al. Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for the treatment of patients with chronic genotype 4 or 5 HCV infection [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria.
  85. Ruane PJ, Ainsworth D, Stryker R, Meshrekey R, Soliman M, Wolfe PR, et al. Sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for the treatment of chronic genotype 4 hepatitis C virus infection in patients of Egyptian ancestry. *J Hepatol* 2015;62:1040-1046.
  86. Esmat GE, Omar RF, Khairy M, Doss W, Shiha G, Hassany M, et al. Sofosbuvir plus ribavirin in the treatment of Egyptian patients with chronic genotype 4 HCV infection [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 959.
  87. Molina JM, Orkin C, Iser DM, Zamora FX, Nelson M, Stephan C, et al. All-oral therapy with sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for the treatment of HCV genotypes 1, 2, 3 and 4 infection in patients co-infected with HIV (PHOTON-2) [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 20th International AIDS Conference; July 20-25, 2014; Melbourne, Australia. Abstract MOAB0105LB.
  88. Gane EJ, Hyland RH, An D, Svarovskaia ES, Pang PS, Symonds WT, et al. High efficacy of LDV/SOF regimens for 12 weeks for patients with HCV genotype 3 or 6 infection [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA.
  89. Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, Lawitz E, Gordon SC, Schiff E, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1483-1493.
  90. Jacobson IM, Ghalib RH, Rodriguez-Torres M, Younossi ZM, Corregidor A, Sulkowski MS, et al. SVR results of a once-daily regimen of simeprevir (TMC435) plus sofosbuvir (GS-7977) with or without ribavirin in cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic HCV genotype 1 treatment-naïve and prior null responder patients: The COSMOS study [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 1-5, 2013; Washington, DC. Abstract LB-3.
  91. Bourlière M, Sulkowski MS, Omata M, Zeuzem S, Feld J, Lawitz E, et al. An integrated safety and efficacy analysis of >500 patients with compensated cirrhosis treated with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir with or without ribavirin [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 82.
  92. Simeprevir [package insert]. Titusville, NJ: Janssen Therapeutics; 2013.
  93. Lawitz E, Sulkowski MS, Ghalib R, Rodriguez-Torres M, Younossi ZM, Corregidor A, et al. Simeprevir plus sofosbuvir, with or without ribavirin, to treat chronic infection with hepatitis C virus genotype 1 in non-responders to pegylated interferon and ribavirin and treatment-naïve patients: the COSMOS randomised study. *Lancet* 2014;384:1756-1765.
  94. Andreone P, Colombo MG, Enejosa JV, Koksai I, Ferenci P, Maieron A, et al. ABT-450, ritonavir, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir achieves 97% and 100% sustained virologic response with or without ribavirin in treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 1b infection. *Gastroenterology* 2014;147:359-365.
  95. Bourlière M, Bronowicki J, de Ledinghen V, Hezode C, Zoulim F, Mathurin P, et al. Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir fixed dose combination is safe and efficacious in cirrhotic patients who have previously failed protease-inhibitor based triple therapy [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract LB-6.
  96. Osinusi A, Marti M, Kohli A, Meissner R, Townsend K, Nelson A, et al. Sofosbuvir/ledipasvir in retreatment of HCV genotype-1 patients who previously failed sofosbuvir/ribavirin therapy [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 9-13, 2014; London, UK.
  97. Wyles D, Pockros P, Morelli G, Younes Z, Svarovskaia E, Yang JC, et al. Ledipasvir-sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C virus previously treated in clinical trials of sofosbuvir regimens. *HEPATOLOGY* 2015;61:1793-1797.
  98. Hezode C, Asselah T, Reddy KR, Hassanein T, Berenguer M, Fleischer-Stepniowska K, et al. Ombitasvir plus paritaprevir plus ritonavir with or without ribavirin in treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients with genotype 4 chronic hepatitis C virus infection (PEARL-I): a randomised, open-label trial. *Lancet* 2015; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60159-3.
  99. Dienstag JL, Ghany MG, Morgan TR, Di Bisceglie AM, Bonkovsky HL, Kim HY, et al. A prospective study of the rate of progression in compensated, histologically advanced chronic hepatitis C. *HEPATOLOGY* 2011;54:396-405.
  100. Schneider MD, Sarrazin C. Antiviral therapy of hepatitis C in 2014: do we need resistance testing? *Antiviral Res* 2014;105:64-71.
  101. Morisco F, Granata R, Stroffolini T, Guarino M, Donnarumma L, Gaeta L, et al. Sustained virological response: a milestone in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. *World J Gastroenterol* 2013;19:2793-2798.

102. Morgan TR, Ghany MG, Kim HY, Snow KK, Shiffman ML, De Santo JL, et al. Outcome of sustained virological responders with histologically advanced chronic hepatitis C. *HEPATOLOGY* 2010;52:833-844.
103. George SL, Bacon BR, Brunt EM, Mihindukulasuriya KL, Hoffmann J, Di Bisceglie AM. Clinical, virologic, histologic, and biochemical outcomes after successful HCV therapy: a 5-year follow-up of 150 patients. *HEPATOLOGY* 2009;49:729-738.
104. Singal AG, Volk ML, Jensen D, Di Bisceglie AM, Schoenfeld PS. A sustained viral response is associated with reduced liver-related morbidity and mortality in patients with hepatitis C virus. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;8:280-288.
105. Curry MP, Fornis X, Chung RT, Terrault NA, Brown R Jr, Fenkel JM, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin prevent recurrence of HCV infection after liver transplantation: an open-label study. *Gastroenterology* 2014;148:100-107.
106. Reddy KR, Everson GT, Flamm SL, Denning JM, Arterburn S, Brandt-Sarif T, et al. Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir with ribavirin for the treatment of HCV in patients with post transplant recurrence: preliminary results of a prospective, multicenter study [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 8.
107. Mantry PS, Kwo PY, Coakley E, Te H, Vargas H, Brown RS Jr, et al. High sustained virologic response rates in liver transplant recipients with recurrent HCV genotype 1 infection receiving ABT-450/r/ombitasvir+dasabuvir plus ribavirin [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA. Abstract 198.
108. Pungpapong S, Werner KT, Aql B, Leise M, Murphy JL, Henry TM, et al. Multicenter experience using sofosbuvir and simeprevir with/without ribavirin to treat HCV genotype 1 after liver transplantation [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD); November 7-11, 2014; Boston, MA.
109. Pockros PJ, Reddy KR, Mantry PS, Cohen E, Bennett M, Sulkowski MS, et al. Safety of ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir plus dasabuvir for treating HCV GT1 infection in patients with severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease: the RUBY-1 study [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); April 22-26, 2015; Vienna, Austria. Abstract LO1.
110. Thomas DL, Asemborski J, Rai RM, Anania FA, Schaeffer M, Galai N, et al. The natural history of hepatitis C virus infection: host, viral, and environmental factors. *JAMA* 2000;284:450-456.
111. Goedert JJ, Eyster ME, Lederman MM, Mandalaki T, De Moerloose P, White GC 2nd, et al. End-stage liver disease in persons with hemophilia and transfusion-associated infections. *Blood* 2002;100:1584-1589.
112. Thomas DL, Shih JW, Alter HJ, Vlahov D, Cohn S, Hoover DR, et al. Effect of human immunodeficiency virus on hepatitis C virus infection among injecting drug users. *J Infect Dis* 1996;174:690-695.
113. Torriani FJ, Rodriguez-Torres M, Rockstroh JK, Lissen E, Gonzalez-García J, Lazzarin A, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected patients. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:438-450.
114. Hadziyannis SJ, Sette H Jr, Morgan TR, Balan V, Diago M, Marcellin P, et al. Peginterferon-alpha2a and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis C: a randomized study of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;140:346-355.
115. Sulkowski MS, Naggie S, Lalezari J, Fessel WJ, Mounzer K, Shuhart M, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin for hepatitis C in patients with HIV coinfection. *JAMA* 2014;312:353-361.
116. Sulkowski MS, Sherman KE, Dieterich DT, Bsharat M, Mahnke L, Rockstroh JK, et al. Combination therapy with telaprevir for chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection in patients with HIV: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;159:86-96.
117. Khatri A, Wang T, Wang H, Podsadecki T, Trinh R, Awani W, et al. Drug-drug interactions of the direct-acting antiviral regimen of ABT-450/r, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir with HIV protease inhibitors [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 54th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC); September 5-9, 2014; Washington, DC. Abstract V-484.
118. Khatri A, Wang T, Wang H, Podsadecki T, Trinh R, Awani W, et al. Drug-drug interactions of the direct-acting antiviral regimen of ABT-450/r, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir with emtricitabine + tenofovir, raltegravir, rilpivirine, and efavirenz [Abstract]. Proceedings of the 54th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC); September 5-9, 2014; Washington, DC. Abstract V-483.
119. Osinusi A, Townsend K, Kohli A, Nelson A, Seamon C, Meissner EG, et al. Virologic response following combined ledipasvir and sofosbuvir administration in patients with HCV genotype 1 and HIV coinfection. *JAMA* 2015;31:1232-1239.
120. Naggie S, Cooper C, Saag M, Yang J, Stamm L, Pang PS, et al. Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 12 weeks in patients coinfecting with HCV and HIV-1 [Abstract]. Proceedings of the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 23-26, 2015; Seattle, WA. Abstract 152LB.
121. Sulkowski MS, Eron JJ, Wyles D, Trinh R, Lalezari J, Wang C, et al. Ombitasvir, paritaprevir co-dosed with ritonavir, dasabuvir, and ribavirin for hepatitis C in patients co-infected with HIV-1: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2015;313:1223-1231.
122. Molina JM, Orkin C, Iser DM, Zamora FX, Nelson M, Stephan C, et al. Sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for treatment of hepatitis C virus in patients co-infected with HIV (PHOTON-2): a multicentre, open-label, non-randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2015;385:1098-1106.
123. Villano SA, Vlahov D, Nelson KE, Cohn S, Thomas DL. Persistence of viremia and the importance of long-term follow-up after acute hepatitis C infection. *HEPATOLOGY* 1999;29:908-914.
124. Cox AL, Netski DM, Mosbrugger T, Sherman SG, Strathdee S, Ompad D, et al. Prospective evaluation of community-acquired acute-phase hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;40:951-958.
125. Grebely J, Page K, Sacks-Davis R, van der Loeff MS, Rice TM, Bruneau J, et al. The effects of female sex, viral genotype, and IL28B genotype on spontaneous clearance of acute hepatitis C virus infection. *HEPATOLOGY* 2014;59:109-120.
126. Raghuraman S, Park H, Osburn WO, Winkelstein E, Edlin BR, Rehermann B. Spontaneous clearance of chronic hepatitis C virus infection is associated with appearance of neutralizing antibodies and reversal of T-cell exhaustion. *J Infect Dis* 2012;205:763-771.
127. Nomura H, Sou S, Tanimoto H, Nagahama T, Kimura Y, Hayashi J, et al. Short-term interferon-alfa therapy for acute hepatitis C: a randomized controlled trial. *HEPATOLOGY* 2004;39:1213-1219.
128. Gerlach JT, Diepolder HM, Zachoval R, Gruener H, Jung MC, Ulsenheimer A, et al. Acute hepatitis C: high rate of both spontaneous and treatment-induced viral clearance. *Gastroenterology* 2003;125:80-88.
129. Ghany MG, Strader DB, Thomas DL, Seeff LB; for the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C: an update. *HEPATOLOGY* 2009;49:1335-1374.